

1 **LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN &
2 BERNSTEIN, LLP**

3 Katherine L. Benson (State Bar No. 259826)
4 275 Battery Street, 29th Floor
5 San Francisco, CA 94111-3339
6 Telephone: (415) 956-1000
7 Facsimile: (415) 956-1008

8 *Liaison Counsel*

9 **LABATON SUCHAROW LLP**

10 Jonathan Gardner (*pro hac vice*)
11 Carol C. Villegas (*pro hac vice*)
12 Alec T. Coquin (*pro hac vice*)
13 140 Broadway
14 New York, NY 10005
15 Telephone: (212) 907-0700
16 Facsimile: (212) 818-0477

17 **MOTLEY RICE LLC**

18 James M. Hughes (*pro hac vice*)
19 William S. Norton (*pro hac vice*)
20 Max N. Gruetzmacher (*pro hac vice*)
21 Michael J. Pendell (*pro hac vice*)
22 28 Bridgeside Blvd.
23 Mt. Pleasant, SC 29464
24 Telephone: (843) 216-9000
25 Facsimile: (843) 216-9450

26 *Co-Lead Counsel for the Class*

27 *Co-Lead Counsel for the Class*

28 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND DIVISION**

1 BABA K HATAMIAN and LUSSA DENNJ
2 SALVATORE, individually and on behalf of
3 all others similarly situated,

4 Plaintiffs,

5 v.

6 ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC.,
7 RORY P. READ, THOMAS J. SEIFERT,
8 RICHARD A. BERGMAN, AND LISA T.
9 SU,

10 Defendants.

11 Case No. 4:14-cv-00226-YGR

12 CLASS ACTION

13 **[PROPOSED] ORDER APPROVING
14 PLAN OF ALLOCATION**

1 THIS MATTER having come before the Court on the motion of Class Representatives
2 Arkansas Teacher Retirement System and KBC Asset Management NV, on behalf of themselves
3 and the Class, for final approval of the proposed class action Settlement and approval of the
4 proposed Plan of Allocation; the Court having considered all papers filed and proceedings had
5 herein and otherwise being fully informed;

6 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that:

7
8 1. This Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the Stipulation and
9 Agreement of Settlement, dated as of October 9, 2017 (the “Stipulation”), and all capitalized
10 terms used, but not defined herein, shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Stipulation.

11 2. Pursuant to and in compliance with Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil
12 Procedure, this Court hereby finds and concludes that due and adequate notice was directed to
13 Persons who are Class Members who could be identified with reasonable effort, advising them of
14 the Plan of Allocation and of their right to object thereto, and a full and fair opportunity was
15 accorded to Persons who are Class Members to be heard with respect to the Plan of Allocation.
16 There were no objections to the proposed Plan of Allocation.

17 3. The Court hereby finds and concludes that the Plan of Allocation for the
18 calculation of the claims of Authorized Claimants that is set forth in the Notice of Proposed
19 Class Action Settlement and Motion for Attorneys’ Fees and Expenses (the “Settlement Notice”)
20 disseminated to Class Members, provides a fair and reasonable basis upon which to allocate the
21 Net Settlement Fund among eligible Class Members.

22 4. The Court hereby finds and concludes that the Plan of Allocation, as set forth in
23 the Settlement Notice, is, in all respects, fair and reasonable and the Court hereby approves the
24 Plan of Allocation.

25 Dated: March 2, 2018


HONORABLE YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE